

ENERGYFIT NEVADA ECONOMIC BENEFITS



Nevada Energy Efficiency Investment Analysis Study Purpose



RCG

Overview of the Proposed Energy Efficiency Financial (EEF) Programs (ILOWA-

Rr



2 types of EEF programs were analyzed

Property Assessed Clean Energy program (PACE):

• Method of securing a loan for energy improvements

- Lien placed on the property that is superior to a mortgage & is at parity with the tax lien.
- Minimum issuance amount is most likely \$1 \$2 million due to efficiencies regarding issuance costs.

Nevada State Subsidy Options programs:

The total capital needed to finance approximately 1,000 loans
 is \$20 million (with an average loan amount of \$20,000)

RCG ECONOMICS

- Option 1: 10% Subordinate Capital
- Option 2: 10% Loan Loss Reserve
- Option 3: Interest Rate Buy-Down

Economic Benefits of the Proposed EFF Programs to State of Nevada

Rr

AWO

(11



Economic Benefits Methodology

3 measures of economic benefits

Economic benefits are the positive impacts on the level of economic activity in the local economy—measured in terms of increased spending/output, employment & labor compensation.

□ Spending - dollar value of the economic activity produced by an industry (referred to as "output")

Employment - number of jobs generated (i.e., created or supported) & includes part-time, full-time & temporary jobs

Labor Compensation - gross payroll cost of employees paid by employers (i.e., wage & salary, benefits & employer-paid payroll taxes (total payroll costs including employee benefits)



Economic Benefits Methodology (cont'd) 3 <u>levels</u> of economic benefits

Direct benefits include benefits from initial investments in the proposed financing programs (benefits from the local purchase of construction materials, construction jobs created & construction payroll/compensation – a one-time benefit).

Indirect benefits are the wholesale purchases of goods & services resulting from the initial direct spending attracted by the proposed financing programs. The portion of these purchases made within the state economy is counted as an indirect economic benefit. Those inter-industry purchases associated with the investment into the proposed financing programs are one-time indirect benefits.

Induced benefits are the result of purchases made by employees with earned income received from the directly & indirectly impacted organizations & businesses. As a new engineer for an energy efficiency project purchases goods & services for his/her household, the spending cycles through the economy & induces additional economic activity.



The economic benefits analyses developed were guided by the following questions:

- □ If an additional \$1 million is spent locally on energy efficiency programs (e.g., building retrofits), how will businesses utilize that money to actually complete the retrofit project?
- How much of the \$1 million will they spend on hiring workers,
 & how much will they spend on non-labor inputs, including materials, energy costs & renting office space?
- □ When businesses spend on non-labor inputs, what are the employment effects through giving orders to suppliers, such as trucking companies, parts producers, etc.?



Economic Benefits per \$1M Investment

in EEF Programs, Spending



RCG

Note: Total may not sum exactly due to rounding.

Economic Benefits <u>per \$1M Investment</u> in EEF Programs (cont'd) *Employment (Full- & Parttime)*



RCG

Economic Benefits per \$1M Investment in EEF Programs (cont'd) Labor Compensation



RCG

Note: Total may not sum exactly due to rounding.

Spending, Employment & Labor Compensation Multipliers

2.0

1.8

1.6

1.0

1.4 -

1.2 -

1.0

Spending

1.56

Employment

1.53

Rr 138/9 KILOWATTHOURS

Labor Compensation

1.34



Potential benefit of \$20M investment in EEF programs to State of Nevada?

Scenarios examined

Energy Efficiency Improvements compared with No Energy Efficiency Improvements (business as usual).

Short-term employment benefits: 1st year investment of \$20M.

Long-term employment benefits: Effects of the initial investment over 20 years (in 2013 \$).



Potential benefit of \$20M investment in EEF programs? Energy Efficiency Improvements vs. No Energy Efficiency Improvements

Short-Term Employment Economic Benefits

1 st Year Investment: \$20 Million	
Energy Efficiency Improvements	No Energy Efficiency Improvements
15.5 Jobs per \$1M x \$20M	11 Jobs per \$1M x \$20M
= 310 Gross Direct, Indirect & Induced Jobs	= 220 Gross Direct, Indirect & Induced Jobs
RESULT: 90 Net Jobs (310 – 220 = 90) 41% Diff.	



Potential benefit of \$20M investment in EEF programs? Energy Efficiency Improvements vs. No Energy Efficiency Improvements

Long-Term Employment Economic Benefits

Over 20 Years	
Energy Efficiency Improvements	No Energy Efficiency Improvements
20 Years	20 Years
X \$1M in Savings, Assumed to be	X \$1M in Savings, Assumed to be
Spent in Other Areas	Spent in Other Areas
X 9 Jobs per \$1M	X 5.5 Jobs per \$1M
= 180 Gross Direct, Indirect &	= 110 Gross Direct, Indirect &
Induced Jobs over 20 years	Induced Jobs over 20 years

RESULT: <u>3.5 Jobs per Year</u> (or 70 Net Jobs, 20 years: 180 – 110 = 70) 64% Diff.



Concluding Remarks

□ Energy efficiency, for the most part, creates net gains in employment, which extend beyond the jobs that shift among industries.

Additional benefits of energy efficiency, e.g., potential increase in home market value due to energy cost savings, electricity savings, & improving air quality.

□ If properly designed & implements, financing programs for energy efficiency initiatives can be essential to NV's environment, economy & residents.

□ For any efficiency program to reach its potential, it's essential to find support among all key stakeholders who realize that energy efficiency needs to be viewed as a meaningful & significant economic resource.





Contact: John Restrepo 702-967-3188 jrestrepo@rcg1.com <u>www.rcg1.com</u> Twitter: @rcgeconomics

